

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
OAKLAND DIVISION**

EOLAS TECHNOLOGIES
INCORPORATED,

Plaintiff,

v.

AMAZON.COM, INC.,

Defendant.

Case No. 4:17-cv-03022-JST

Related to Case Nos. 4:17-cv-01138-JST,
4:17-cv-03023-JST, and 4:15-cv-05446-JST

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
DEFENDANTS' ADMINISTRATIVE
MOTION TO FILE A CONSOLIDATED
MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT
ON BEHALF OF ALL THREE
DEFENDANTS THAT MODIFIES THE
PAGE LIMIT FOR A SINGLE-
DEFENDANT MOTION BY TWENTY-
FIVE PAGES**

1 Defendants Amazon.com, Inc. (“Amazon”), Google LLC (“Google”), and Walmart, Inc.
2 (“Walmart”) (collectively, “Defendants”) have filed an Administrative Motion To File a
3 Consolidated Motion for Summary Judgment on Behalf of All Three Defendants That Modifies
4 the Page Limit for a Single-Defendant Motion by Twenty-Five Pages.

5 Having considered Defendants’ Administrative Motion and any responsive statement or
6 declaration filed by Plaintiff Eolas Technologies, Inc. (“Eolas”), and good cause having been
7 shown, the Court **GRANTS** Defendant’s Motion and ORDERS the Defendants may file a
8 consolidated brief in support of a motion for summary judgment of no more than 50 pages and a
9 corresponding reply brief of no more than 30 pages, and Eolas may file a consolidated opposition
10 brief of no more than 50 pages.

11 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

12
13 Dated: _____

14 Honorable Jon S. Tigar
15 United States District Judge
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28